

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/579,835		TELIMAA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Dwan A. Gerido, Ph.D.		1797	

All Participants:

(1) Dwan A. Gerido, Ph.D.

(2) Bryan H. Davidson.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

20020012613

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Lyle A Alexander/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Appellant was notified that the claims would be in condition for allowance if the independent claims included limitations describing the rotation of the display about a horizontal axis of the pipette body. Appellant agreed to amend claim 1 thereby placing the claims in condition for allowance.